arXiv:1502.02978v2 [math.GR] 11 Feb 2015

UDC 512.542

On Thompson's conjecture for alternating and symmetric groups

I.B. Gorshkov [1]

1. Introduction

In this paper, all groups are finite. Let G be a finite group. If $g \in G$ that g^G denotes the conjugacy class in G containing g and $|g^G|$ its size, $C_G(g)$ denotes the centralizer of g in G. We use $N(G)$ for the set $\{n | \exists g \in G$ such that $|g^G| = n\}$. Thompson in 1987 posted the following conjecture with respect to $N(G)$.

Thompson's Conjecture (see [1], Question 12.38). *If L is a finite simple non Abelian group, G is a finite group with trivial center, and $N(G) = N(L)$, then $G \simeq L$.*

Thompson's conjecture was proved valid for many finite simple groups of Lie type. Let Alt_n be the alternating group of degree n, Sym_n be the symmetric group of degree n. Alavi and Daneshkhah proved that the groups Alt_n with $n = p$, $n = p + 1$, $n = p + 2$ and p prime are characterized by $N(G)$ (see [2]). Recently, the groups Alt_{10}, Alt_{16}, and Alt_{22} were proved valid for this conjecture (see [3], [4], [5]).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *If G is a finite group such that $N(G) = N(Alt_n), n \geq 5$ or $N(G) = N(Sym_n)$, $n \geq 23$, then G is non-solvable.*

2. Notation and preliminary results

Given a finite group G, denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of prime divisors of its order.

Lemma 1. *Let G and H are finite groups, center of G is trivial and $N(G) = N(H)$. Then $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi(H)$.*

Proof. In the same way as [3, Lemma 3].

Lemma 2 ([3, Lemma 5]). *Let K be a normal subgroup of a finite group G, and $\overline{G} = G/K$. If $\overline{x}$ is the image of an element x of G to $\overline{G}$, them $|\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ divides $|x^G|$. Moreover, if $(|x|, |K|) = 1$, then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) = C_G(x)K/K$.*

Lemma 3. *Let $x, y \in G, (|x|, |y|) = 1$, $xy = yx$. Then $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$.*

Proof. The proof is trivial.

Lemma 4 ([6, Theorem 4.34]). *Let A act via automorphisms on an Abelian group G, and suppose that $(|G|, |A|) = 1$. Then $G = C_G(A) \times [G, A]$.*

Lemma 5. *Let g act via automorphisms on an Abelian group G, and suppose that $(|G|, |g|) = 1$. Then $|g|$ divides $|[G, g]| - 1$.*

Lemma 6. *If G is finite group and there exists a prime $p \in \pi(G)$ such that p^2 does not divide $|x^G|$ for all x in G. Then a Sylow p-subgroup of G is Abelian.*

---

[1] The work is supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065)

Proof. In the same way as [3, Lemma 4].

Let $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{N}, |\Theta| < \infty$, $\Gamma(\Theta)$ is directed graph, the set vertices is equal to Θ and $\overrightarrow{ab}$ if a divides b, $h(\Theta)$ is length of a maximal path in the graph $\Gamma(\Theta)$. Let $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(N(G))$, $V_i \in \{Alt_i, Sym_i\}$, G be a finite solvable group such that $N(G) = N(V_n)$ where $n \geq 5$ if $V_n \simeq Alt_n$ and $n \geq 23$ if $V_n \simeq Sym_n$, $\Omega = \{t | n/2 < t \leq n, t-$ prime $\}$, p be maximal number of Ω. From Lemma 1 we obtain that $\pi(G) \supseteq \pi(V_n)$ in particular $\Omega \subseteq \pi(G)$.

Lemma 7. *If $n > 1361$, then $|\Omega| > \log_2(n!/(p)!)$,*

Proof. Let $\phi(x)$ be the number of primes less or equal x, From [7, Section 35, §1] it follows that $0,921 \cdot (x/\ln(x)) < \phi(x) < 1,106 \cdot (x/\ln(x))$ for $10 < x$. Hence $|\Omega| = \phi(n) - \phi(n/2) \geq \frac{0,921 \cdot n}{\ln(n)} - \frac{1,106 \cdot n/2}{\ln(n/2)}$. It is proved in [8] that $n - p < n^{0,525}$. Using this assertions we get that lemma is valid if $n \geq 1000000$. If $1361 \leq n < 1000000$ then using [9] we obtain our assertion. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 8. *Let $t \in \Omega$, $\alpha \in N(G)$ and $t \notin \pi(\alpha)$. Then $\alpha = |V_n|/(t|C|)$ or $\alpha = |V_n|/(|V_{t+i}||B|)$ where $C = C_{V_{n-t}}(g)$, for any $g \in V_{n-t}$, $t + i \leq n$, $B = C_{V_{n-t-i}}(h), h \in V_{n-t-i}$ and h moves $n - t - i$ points.*

Proof. The proof is trivial.

Let $\Phi_t = \{\alpha \in N(G) | \alpha = |V_n|/(t|C|), C = C_{V_{n-t}}(g)$, for any $g \in V_{n-t}\}$, $\Psi_t = \{\alpha \in N(L) | \alpha = |V_n|/(|V_{t+i}||B|, i \geq 0, t + i < n - 1, B = C_{V_{n-t-i(g)}}, g \in V_{n-t-i}$ and g moves $n - t - i$ points $\}$. Let us remark that the definitions of sets Φ and Ψ do not imply that t is prime.

Lemma 9.

1. *If $n - t = 2$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 1$*
2. *If $n - t = 3$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 2$*
3. *If $n - t = 4$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 3$*
4. *If $n - t = 5$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 5$*
5. *If $n - t = 6$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 6$*
6. *If $n - t = 7$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 8$*
7. *If $n - t = 8$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 11$*
8. *If $n - t = 9$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 14$*
9. *If $n - t = 10$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 18$*
10. *If $n - t = 11$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 21$*
11. *If $n - t = 12$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 26$*
12. *If $n - t = 13$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 30$*
13. *If $n - t = 18$, then $h(\Psi_t) \leq 69$*

Proof. By definition we have $h(\Psi_t) \leq \sum_{i \in \{1,..,n-t\}} h(R_i)$ where $R_i = \{|g^{V_i}|, g \in V_i$, and g moved i point $\}$. Let us use [9] for calculation R_i. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 10. *If G is solvable group, then Hall Ω-subgroup is an Abelian.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6 that Sylow t-subgroup of G is Abelian for any $t \in \Omega$. Assume that Hall Ω-subgroup of G is not Abelian. It follows that there exists $\{t_i, t_j\} \subset \Omega$ such that a Hall $\{t_i, t_j\}$-subgroup H of G is non Abelian. Let $R < G$ be a maximal normal subgroup such that $\overline{H} = HR/R$ is non Abelian. Hence in group $\overline{G} = G/R$ there exist normal t-subgroup T, $t \in \{t_1, t_2\}$, such that there exist $g \in \overline{G}, |g| \in \{t_1, t_2\} \setminus \{t\}$ and $[T, g] \neq 1$. By Lemma 4, $T = C_T(g) \times [T, g]$. Using Lemma 5 we get that $|g|$ divides $[T, g] - 1$. But $|g|$ not divides $t - 1$, therefore $|[T, g]| > t$. From Lemma 2 it follows that $|g^{\overline{G}}|_t > t$ and there exists $n \in N(G)$ such that t^2 divides n; a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 11. *Let G by solvable. If there exists r prime such that $p + 1 < 2r \leq n$ then a Hall $\{r\} \cup \Omega$-subgroup is isomorphic direct product of $T \times R$ where T is a Hall Ω-subgroup and R is a Sylow r-subgroup. In particular, if $g \in T$ then $|g^G|$ is not divisible by r.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 10.

Lemma 12. *Let T be a Hall Ω-subgroup of G, $\Theta = \{|g^G|$ for all $g \in T\}$. If $|\Omega| > h(\Theta)$, then G are non-solvable.*

Proof. Assume that G is solvable and $|\Omega| > h(\Theta)$. From Lemma 10 it follows that a Hall Ω-subgroup of G is Abelian. Let $g_1 \in T, |g_1| = t_1, t_1 \in \Omega$ is minimal of Ω. It follows that there exist $r_1 \in C_G(g_1)$ such that intersection $C_G(r_1) \cap T$ is Hall Ω-subgroup of $C_G(r_1)$, and t_2 divides $|r_1^G|$ where t_2 is minimal of $\Omega \setminus \{t_1\}$. Hence there exist $g_2 \in T, |g_2| = t_2$ such that $C_G(g_1) \neq C_G(g_2)$. Since T is Abelian from Lemma 3 it follows that $|(g_1g_2)^G| > |g_1^G|$ and $|g_1^G|$ divides $|(g_1g_2)^G|$. Repeating this procedure $|\Omega|$ times we obtain a set $\Sigma = \{g_1, g_1g_2, g_1g_2g_3, ..., g_1g_2...g_{|\Omega|}\}$ and $|g_1^G|||(g_1g_2)^G||...||g_1g_2...g_{|\Omega|}|$. Thus $h(\Theta) \geq |\Omega|$; a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

3. Proof of the main theorem

Lemma 13. *If $n > 1361$ then G is non-solvable.*

Proof. Assume that G is solvable group. Let T be a Hall Ω-subgroup of G. Using Lemma 8 we get that $|g^G| \in \Psi_p$ for any $g \in T$. Let us show that $|\Omega| > h(\Psi_p)$. Let $h_1, ..., h_k \in \Psi_p$ such that $h_1|h_2|...|h_k$. Therefore $2h_1 \leq h_2, 2h_2 \leq h_3 ... 2h_{k-1} \leq h_k$. If $l \in \Psi_p$ then $l|n!/(p)!$. Hence $h(\Psi_p) \leq log_2(n!/(p)!)$. Using Lemma 7 we get $|\Omega| > log_2(n!/(p)! \geq h(\Psi_p)$. From Lemma 12 if follow that G is non-solvable; a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 14. *If $22 < n \leq 1361$ then G is non-solvable.*

Proof. Assume that G is solvable group. Let T be a Hall Ω-subgroup of G. Using Lemma 8 we get that $|g^G| \in \Psi_p$ for any $g \in T$. From Lemma 11 it follows that $|g^G|$ is not divisible by r where $g \in T$ and $p + 1 < 2r \leq n$, r is prime. Hence $|g^G| = |V_n|/(V_{2r+i}|C|) \in \Psi_{2r}$ where $0 \leq i \leq n - 2r + 2$, and $C = C_{V_{n-2r-i}(g)}, g \in V_{n-t-i}, 0 \leq i \leq n - 2r + 2$. Let $\Theta = \{|g^G|$ for all $g \in T\}$. Combining Lemma 9, [9], and Lemma 12, we get a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 15. *If $5 \leq n \leq 22$ then G is non-solvable.*

Proof. The assertion follows from [2], [3] and [4].

References

[1] V. D. Mazurov, E. I. Khukhro, Eds., The Kourovka Notebook: Unsolved Problems in Group Theory, Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia, 18th edition, 2014.

[2] S. H. Alavi and A.Daneshkhah, A new characterization of alternating and symmetric groups, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 17(1), pp. 245–258, 2005.

[3] A. V. Vasil'ev, On Thompson's conjecture, Sibirskie Elektronnye Matematicheskie Izvestiya, vol. 6, pp. 457–464, 2009.

[4] I. B. Gorshkov, Thompson's conjecture for simple groups with a connected prime graph, Algebra and Logic, 51(2), pp. 111–127, 2012.

[5] M. Xu, Thompson's conjecture for alternating group of degree 22, Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 8(5), pp. 1227– 1236, 2013.

[6] I. M. Isaacs, Finite group theory, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xii+350 pp.

[7] A. A. Buhshtab, Number theory // Moskow. 1966

[8] R. C. Baker, The difference between consecutive primes, II. Proceeding of the London Mathematical Society 83(3), pp. 532-562, 2001.

[9] The GAP Group, GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4, 2004; (http://www.gap-system.org).

I.B. Gorshkov
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,
4 Acad. Koptyug avenue, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia
Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil
E-mail address: ilygor8@gmail.com